

**Summary: KPAO Galactic Science telecon 01/31/06**

=====

Telecon: KPAO Galactic Science sub-group  
01/31/06

Liu, Macintosh, Ghez, Metchev, Weinberg, Hillenbrand

=====

>>>> Action Items <<<<<

GHEZ, WEINBERG:

- send draft science text for Galactic Center
  - include science goals a.f.o. astrometric errors
- using KPAO baseline, do simple estimate for confusion limit

MACINTOSH:

- develop tractable simulation plan w/Neyman for KPAO contrast
- circulate TMT science case for Taurus imaging, if possible

LIU:

- discuss crowded field simulations with Technical sub-group,  
potential overlap between Gal Center & Extragalactic needs
- talk with Metchev

HILLENBRAND, GREENE:

- send top-level science case for scattered light imaging of protostars

For the science cases, please be sure to highlight the following

- are the KPAO baseline requirements sufficient?
- any special requirements on the science instrument and/or AO?  
(e.g. IR tiptilt)

Suggested completion date: in 3 weeks time (~Feb 20)  
next telecon week of Feb 20-24

-----

Possible list of science topics to pursue

- Galactic Center
- Direct imaging of massive planets
- Debris disks
- Circumstellar environment of protostars  
(- Brown dwarf multiplicity)

Possible science requirements raised:

- IR tiptilt
- AO telemetry and PSF reconstruction
- High contrast: small internal WF errors, calibration, coronagraphy  
large contrast @ moderate separation (~0.5") VERSUS  
modest contract @ very small separations (~few lambda/D)

- High spectral resolution IFU for Galactic Center orbits

-----  
Greene: must achieve the promise, esp. compared to JWST  
very stable & high Strehl

Galactic Center (Ghez & Weinberg)

dynamics of central arcsec

\*confusion\* limited at ~16 mag

for very accurate measurements (distances & dynamics), need high Strehl

currently limited by astrometric biases

need deeper maps, resolve individual sources

NGS: astrometry not that much more useful compared to Speckle

LGS has been a big gain

distance to Galactic Center ( $R_0$ )

error in halo shape figures into  $R_0$

need proper motions & RVs

general relativistic effects

BH spin --> 30 microarcsec

extended central mass (low-mass stars, remnants) --> 50 microarcsec

(depends on assumed mass distribution)

dark matter cusp

Hard to quantify confusion issues --> need simulations

\*\* may be overlap with extragalactic needs \*\*

TMT paper: simple treatment - computed confusion limit

and then used as hard floor.

did not account for astrometric errors from

objects brighter than confusion limit

KPAO much preferred over Keck Interferometer b/c crowded field

could give: {x,y}, magnitudes, and epoch to simulators

then process the image for astrometric accuracy

Imaging planets around VLM stars & brown dwarfs

Macintosh: Faint point source detection

"non-trivial" simulations needed

overall AO system performance ("least important")

need to run simulation for 10-30 seconds (very intensive)

static errors:

internal calibration (alignment, non-common path)

primary mirror errors

residual diffraction (segment gaps)

can make "order-of-magnitude" guesses based on work to date

static effects \*\*dominate\*\*

pay a lot of attention to small internal errors

not focus on increasing the Strehl

"very good contrast" system could have big science payoff

Neyman does not have a good model yet for 120 nm LGS system

Metchev: what about real-time telemetry

Macintosh: not an issue for this pt source case

b/c these PSF features are caused by things invisible to WFS

would help for debris disks, where PSF subtraction important

Metchev:  $d_{\text{mag}}(J) \sim 13$  mag at  $\sim 0.5$  arcseconds  $\rightarrow$  planets in the Hyades  
for G stars, so will be done with Gemini/GPI

Liu: M stars in Hyades, nearby young M stars  
solar neighborhood census will be much advanced in  $\sim 5$  years

\*\* ExAO vs KPAO comparison needed \*\*

need to do primary mirror modeling

\*\* planned Feb 8/9/10 meeting with Neyman \*\*

larger contrast at large angles vs  
smaller contrast @ moderate angles ( $\sim$  few  $\lambda/D$ ) ??  
matters for science instruments  
e.g. BD binaries, Taurus multiplicity

Debris disks: Liu, Macintosh

would benefit from dual-channel polarimetry

current systems have only been able to study edge-on systems

if optical performance is similar to current near-IR,

may also be restricted to edge-on systems

higher Strehl in IR may be more favorable to finding non-edge-on systems

optical scattering brighter than near-IR

how many sources to be imaged?

Scattered light imaging of protostars: Greene, Hillenbrand  
model amount of mass, evolutionary/circumstellar state

\*\* IR tilt needed \*\*

some systems are seen in the visible

Hillenbrand: sources are large in Taurus (up to  $40''$ )

have done seeing-limited studies & modeling

see Eisner et al 200x

AO PSF issues may be problematic

Liu: could extend to regions at larger distances with AO

unlikely to be "flagship" science, but interesting to do

Dying stars

Wolf-Rayet: e.g. aperture-masking stuff by Tuthill et al

---

Michael C. Liu

Assistant Astronomer

Institute for Astronomy

University of Hawaii

2680 Woodlawn Drive

Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

email: [mliu@ifa.hawaii.edu](mailto:mliu@ifa.hawaii.edu)

phone: 1 808 956 6666

fax : 1 808 956 9580

WWW : [www.ifa.hawaii.edu/~mliu](http://www.ifa.hawaii.edu/~mliu)

---